

County of San Diego

ELISE ROTHSCHILD Director

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH COMMUNITY HEALTH DIVISION

5570 Overland Avenue, Suite 102, San Diego, CA 92123 Phone: (858) 694-2888 FAX: (858) 571-4268 1 (800) 253-9933 www.sdcdeh.org AMY HARBERT

Assistant Director

August 23, 2018

CEQA Initial Study - Environmental Checklist Form (Based on the State CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G)

1. Proposed Project Title:

Integrated Vector Management Program

2. Lead agency name and address:

County of San Diego, Department of Environmental Health Vector Control Program 5570 Overland Avenue, Suite 102 San Diego, CA 92123

3. a. Contact: KariLyn Merlos, Program Coordinator

b. Phone number: (858) 495-5799

c. E-mail: KariLyn.Merlos@sdcounty.ca.gov

4. Project location:

The Integrated Vector Management Program (IVMP) (Proposed Project) includes vector and vector-borne disease surveillance and control services throughout all 18 incorporated cities and the unincorporated areas of San Diego County totaling approximately 4,261 square miles (Figure 1). As a program within the County Department of Environmental Health (DEH), the Vector Control Program (VCP) is responsible for implementing the IVMP, which encompasses the VCP's existing service area.

5. Project Applicant name and address: see Lead Agency

6. General Plan

Community Plan:

Countywide

Land Use Designation:

N/A

Density:

N/A

Floor Area Ratio (FAR):

N/A

7. Zoning

Use Regulation:

N/A

Minimum Lot Size:

N/A

Special Area Regulation:

N/A

[&]quot;Environmental and public health through leadership, partnership and science."

8. Description of project:

The VCP has been reducing and controlling mosquitoes and other vectors, and protecting the county against vector-borne diseases for over 40 years. For the purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) analysis, a vector is defined as an organism that is capable of spreading disease to humans or presents a public nuisance by negatively impacting farm and other outdoor workers, outdoor recreation and tourism industries, real estate values, or the public in general. Vectors may include mosquitoes, ticks, fleas, rodents, and eye gnats. In 1989, the County of San Diego (County) Board of Supervisors (Board) assumed the powers of a Vector Control District, which is staffed by the DEH.

Since 1989, the VCP has continued to reduce the potential for the spread of diseases and the impact that vectors have on property through ongoing educational outreach, surveillance activities, source reduction (i.e., physical control), and source treatment (i.e., biological and chemical control). The VCP's five core services include (1) early detection of public health threats through comprehensive vector surveillance and testing; (2) control and reduction of vectors that transmit diseases to humans; (3) disseminating vector-borne disease information to provide county residents and property owners with tools for prevention, protection, and reporting of vectors that transmit diseases; (4) appropriate and timely response to vector-related customer complaints; and (5) detection of vector-borne pathogens.

As identified in County Code of Regulatory Ordinances Section 64.201 and the California Health and Safety Code Sections 2001 et. seq, a board of supervisors is allowed under Government Code Section 25842.5 to provide the same services and exercise the powers of a mosquito abatement and vector control district. Pursuant to this statutory authority, the Board resolved to act as a mosquito abatement and vector control district in both the incorporated cities and the unincorporated areas of the county. The city council of each incorporated city in San Diego County consented to the Board's resolution. The Board also resolved to delegate implementation and enforcement duties to the DEH. By Government Code section 25842.5 and County Code of Regulatory Ordinances Sections 64.201 through 64.206, the VCP is authorized to order responsible persons to control and abate disease-transmitting1 vectors and eye gnats in San Diego County. The VCP is also authorized by Government Code Section 25842.5 to directly control and abate mosquitoes and other disease-transmitting and nuisance vectors and to recover its costs to do so, in order to protect the public health, safety and welfare of the entire San Diego County community from vector-borne diseases and vector-related public nuisances.

The VCP protects the public from vector-borne disease and nuisance while protecting the environment through a coordinated set of activities collectively known as the IVMP. These activities and services are described in the annual Engineer's Report, which provides an overview of the VCP's general practices and procedures. As the Proposed Project, the IVMP would continue to operate using a comprehensive approach by applying various techniques, including surveillance, source reduction (i.e., physical control), source treatment (i.e., biological and chemical control), public education, and outreach. Each of these techniques could be applied to the applicable vectors under the IVMP, including:

- Disease-transmitting mosquitoes (i.e., Culex spp. and Aedes spp.);
- Nuisance mosquitoes (not disease-transmitting);
- Vectors associated with mammal disease and transmission (i.e., ticks and rodents);

¹ "Disease-transmitting vector" means "an animal capable of transmitting the causative agent of human disease." See, County Code Section 64.202(h).

 Other nuisance species (e.g., eye gnats and flies) deemed necessary for control as approved by VCP officials.

Where applicable, the Proposed Project incorporates various vector management principles and techniques from guidance documents, such as the VCP's annual *Engineer's Report*, *West Nile Virus Strategic Response Plan*, and *Invasive Aedes Mosquito Strategic Response Plan*. These guidance documents are attached to this Initial Study.

The Proposed Project will also allow for inclusion of progressive and emerging vector control activities and materials. VCP staff is in communication with other regional vector control districts as well as State and Federal agencies to identify new vector-borne diseases and outbreaks, and to share eradication techniques. New vector control methods based on scientific evidence and expert guidance may be implemented to address public risks as they arise. These emerging vector control strategies could include increased or advanced/early source prevention, reduction, surveillance, and/or physical/biological/chemical control, depending on the assessment of public health risk or nuisance level.

Specifically, the IVMP would include the following activities that will be evaluated for potential environmental impacts in the Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR):

Surveillance

The VCP currently monitors over 1,400 mosquito-breeding sources throughout San Diego County, including such techniques as counting and testing mosquito batches (i.e., trapping), testing dead birds, monitoring/testing sentinel chickens, and conducting aerial reconnaissance to identify sources. Surveillance is also conducted for ticks (for tularemia or Lyme disease), and rodents (for plague or hantavirus). Also, routine and complaint-based inspections are conducted to assure the prevention and abatement of flies associated with commercial poultry ranches. Lastly, as part of the VCP's surveillance technology, the Vector Disease and Diagnostics Laboratory was added in July 2010, allowing in-house scientists use state-of-the-art molecular tests to detect vector-borne pathogens in a wide variety of samples ranging from mosquitoes and ticks, to birds, rodents and other animals.

Source Reduction (i.e., Physical Control)

The VCP directs and assists property owners to manage vectors on their property to reduce both attractants and breeding sources. To reduce mosquito production, physical control method primarily targets mosquitoes in their larval stage. This may include the removal of vegetation or sediment, interruption of water flow, rotation of stored water, pumping and/or filling sources, improving drainage and water circulation systems, and installing, improving, or removing culverts, tide gates, and other water control structures in wetlands. VCP staff direct property owners to coordinate water management efforts under the guidance of federal and state regulatory agencies.

Source Treatment (i.e., Biological and Chemical Control)

Biological Control

Mosquito fish, *Gambusia affinis*, are one of the VCP's primary biological control agents used against mosquitoes. Mosquito fish are not native to California, but have been widely established in the state since the early 1920s and now inhabit most natural and constructed water bodies. Mosquito fish are made available to property owners to control mosquito production only in artificial containers such as ornamental fishponds, water plant barrels, horse troughs, and neglected green swimming pools.

The VCP also uses natural biological larvicides registered by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency, California Environmental Protection Agency, and other environmental agencies to control mosquito populations.

Chemical Control

Many mosquito-breeding sources cannot be entirely managed with physical or biological control measures, so the use of chemical applications may be required, which may include but is not limited to pesticides (such as insecticides) and herbicides. Specifically, chemical controls that eradicate or target mosquito eggs (or larvae) are referred to as larvicide, while adulticide is referenced when treating adult mosquitoes; both of which are forms of pesticides. Chemical controls can be applied in a variety of methods including manual (back-pack) hand-operated devices, truck-mounted applicators, or other motorized vehicles (e.g., aircraft, watercraft) to access remote locations. Regarding rodents, although the VCP retains the ability to use chemical controls, it primarily offers advice and assists property owners with their control efforts by providing inspections and consultations, as well as educational information for control measures focusing on exclusion and elimination.

Public Education and Outreach

Health education, outreach, and raising awareness about vectors are all integral parts of the IVMP. A proactive approach is used to educate people within the County about the risks of vectors and preventive measures they can take to protect themselves and their communities. To achieve this goal, VCP staff distributes educational materials such as brochures, pamphlets, bookmarks, and tip cards in multiple languages. Social media is used to notify the public of press releases and scheduled aerial larvicide treatments. The education campaign emphasizes prevention, protection, reporting, and behavior change. A similar proactive approach is used for educating the public about diseases transmitted by rats, ticks, mice, and fleas. Educational presentations, tabletop displays, and pamphlets are used and distributed to local communities.

It should be noted that the Board also adopted a Countywide Eye Gnat Program on December 5, 2012 (03) and associated Negative Declaration (Environmental Review No. 12-00-001) dated October 31, 2012. Therefore, the program is incorporated by reference into the Proposed Project but will not be included in the PEIR analysis since it was previously approved by the Board. In addition, on March 24, 2010 (04) the Board certified a Program EIR for the Vector Habitat Remediation Program, which has offered competitive and direct grants to private and public organizations with the goal of implementing long-term solutions to eliminate or reduce mosquito-breeding habitat.

9. Surrounding land uses and setting:

The IVMP applies to all 18 incorporated cities and the unincorporated areas of San Diego County. San Diego County is bounded by Orange and Riverside counties to the north, Imperial County to the east, Pacific Ocean to the west, and the United States/Mexico International Border to the south.

10. Other public agencies whose approval may be required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):

Permit Type/Action	Agency
Cooperative Agreement for Pesticide-related Requirements	California Department of Public Health
NPDES Order No. 2016-0039-DWQ for Vector Control (previously No. 2011-0002-DWQ)	State Water Resources Control Board
General Note: during implementation of individual IVMP activities, entry or	to public or private lands will be necessary to

General Note: during implementation of individual IVMP activities, entry onto public or private lands will be necessary to conduct vector control activities. Coordination with applicable responsible parties will be conducted on an as-needed basis.

11.	Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, has consultation begun?			
		YES	NO ⊠	
	and project proponents to a dverse impacts to tribal convironmental review process also be available from the Convironmental review process also be available from the Convironmental review process	discuss the level of environ ultural resources, and redu ess. (See Public Resource California Native American I etion 5097.96 and the Califo fornia Office of Historic I	mental revieuce the pote s Code seco Heritage Cor Preservation.	ribal governments, lead agencies, ew, identify and address potential ntial for delay and conflict in the tion 21083.3.2.) Information may mmission's Sacred Lands File per al Resources Information System. Please also note that Public confidentiality.
	notified within San Dieg proposed IVMP during the	o County (who previoune Initial Study/Notice o	sly request f Preparation	Native American tribes will be ted notification) regarding the on comment period. However, during preparation of the draft
below "Poten	would be potentially affe	ected by this project an or a "Less Than Sign	d involve a	environmental factors checked at least one impact that is a Mitigation Incorporated," as
□ <u>Ae</u>	sthetics	Agriculture and For Resources	restry [☑ <u>Air Quality</u>
☑ <u>Bi</u>	ological Resources	☑ Cultural Resources	<u>.</u>	☑ Geology & Soils
	eenhouse Gas nissions	✓ Hazards & Hazarde Materials	ous [☑ <u>Hydrology & Water</u> <u>Quality</u>
☐ <u>Lar</u>	nd Use & Planning	☐ Mineral Resources	[☑ <u>Noise</u>
□ <u>Po</u>	pulation & Housing	☐ Public Services	[Recreation
☐ <u>Tra</u>	ansportation/Traffic	☑ Tribal Cultural Res	ources [☐ <u>Utilities & Service</u> <u>Systems</u>
	ndatory Findings of nificance			

On the basis of this initial evaluation:	ency)
On the basis of this Initial Study, the Departm proposed project COULD NOT have a signine NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	ent of Environmental Health finds that the ficant effect on the environment, and a
On the basis of this Initial Study, the Depart although the proposed project could have a swill not be a significant effect in this case be made by or agreed to by the project DECLARATION will be prepared.	ignificant effect on the environment, there cause revisions in the project have been
On the basis of this Initial Study, the Departm proposed project MAY have a significant ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.	effect on the environment, and an
Karistyna. Meda	8/23/18
Signature	Date
KariLyn Merlos	Program Coordinator
Printed Name	Title

INSTRUCTIONS ON EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level.
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures that were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

County Department of Environmental Health Integrated Vector Management Program

I. AESTHETICS -- Would the project:

a)	H	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scen	ic vista	n?
]	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discu	ssi	ion/Explanation:		
A vista is a view from a particular location or composite views along a roadway or trail. Scenic vistas often refer to views of natural lands, but may also be compositions of natural and developed areas, or even entirely of developed and unnatural areas, such as a scenic vista of a rural town and surrounding agricultural lands. What is scenic to one person may not be scenic to another, so the assessment of what constitutes a scenic vista must consider the perceptions of a variety of viewer groups. The items that can be seen within a vista are visual resources. Adverse impacts to individual visual resources or the addition of structures or developed areas may or may not adversely affect the vista. Determining the level of impact to a scenic vista requires analyzing the changes to the vista as a whole and to individual visual resources.				
Integr range scenic faciliti modif not su alter f	Less than Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide integrated Vector Management Program (IVMP). As such, individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations within San Diego County, and may be located near, within, or visible from a scenic vista. However, the proposed IVMP does not include the construction of new structures or facilities. Furthermore, none of the proposed features of the IVMP would substantially physically modify existing structures or visual features of the landscape. Therefore, the proposed IVMP would not substantially change the composition of an existing scenic vista in a way that would adversely after the visual quality or character of the view, and the Proposed Project would have a less than significant adverse effect on a scenic vista.			
b)		Substantially damage scenic resources, outcroppings, and historic buildings within a		
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discu	ISS	ion/Explanation:		

State scenic highways refer to those highways that are officially designated by the California Department of Transportation as scenic (California Scenic Highway Program). Generally, the area defined within a State scenic highway is the land adjacent to and visible from the vehicular right-of-way. The dimension of a scenic highway is usually identified using a motorist's line of vision, but a reasonable boundary is selected when the view extends to the distant horizon. The scenic highway corridor extends to the visual limits of the landscape abutting the scenic highway.

Less than Significant Impact: While it is possible that future vector control activities have the potential to occur near one of the County's officially designated State scenic highways (State Routes 52, 75, 78, 125, and 163)², the proposed IVMP activities are intended to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease. The proposed IVMP includes surveillance and public education of vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods. It is not expected to result in removal or damage of these highways other scenic resources such as

² http://www.dot.ca.gov/design/lap/livability/scenic-highways/2017-03DesigandEligible.xlsx (Updated March 2017)

August 23, 2018 CEQA Initial Study Checklist

trees, rock outcroppings, or historic buildings. Therefore, impacts to scenic resources within a State scenic highway system will be less than significant.

c)	Substantially degrade the existing visual chasurroundings?	aracter	or quality of the site and its
	Potentially Significant Impact	\boxtimes	Less than Significant Impact
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
potenti spread and ed method propos	than Significant Impact: The proposed IV ial vector threats as well as physical, biological of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne of ducation in communities across San Diego C ds, grading or dredging activities may occused that would significantly alter the visual be proposed that would be incompatible oter.	cal, and disease ounty. ir; how chara	d chemical control methods to reduce the e. This would also include public outreach As a result of the various implementation ever, no substantial earthwork would be cter of a site. Also, no major structures
nonna visual	ically, physical control activities may inclutive vegetation. Where dense vegetation is pecharacter of the site by reducing the vegetation a landscape that would be incompatible ter.	resent, tion pre	certain activities may potentially alter the esent. However, such activities would not
d)	Create a new source of substantial light or gnighttime views in the area?	glare, w	hich would adversely affect day or
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
materi colors.	npact: The Proposed Project does not pro als with highly reflective properties such a . Therefore, the Proposed Project will not cre oute to skyglow, light trespass, or glare that vea.	s high ate an	ly reflective glass or high-gloss surface y new sources of light pollution that could
II. AG	RICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCE	<u>ES</u> V	ould the project:
a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland (Important Farmland), as shown on the ma and Monitoring Program of the Californ resources, to non-agricultural use?	ps pre	pared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Discussion/Explanation:

No Impact: The proposed IVMP would include a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease. Because these activities would occur across the entire county, there is potential for the IVMP and its associated activities to be located on farmland pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency. However, the proposed activities would not result in a change in land use of these sites, and they would not result in the conversion of agricultural lands to a non-agricultural use. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated to occur.

b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural u	ise, or	a Williamson Act contract?
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
to redu The ad includio	pact: The Proposed Project includes implemuce the spread of mosquito-borne and othe ctivities would not install new uses that wong any sites designated as agriculture or use are anticipated to occur to agriculture zone.	r vecto uld co nder a	or-borne diseases and nuisance vectors. Inflict with the existing zoning of a site, In Williamson Act contract. Therefore, no
c)	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause Resources Code section 12220(g)), or timbers section 4526), or timbers and zoned Timber Code section 51104(g))?	perland	d (as defined by Public Resources Code
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
IVMP Propos of pro Theref	pact: Because these activities would occur a and its associated activities to be located sed Project would be consistent with existing perty. San Diego County does not contain ore, implementation of the Proposed Project the rezoning of forest land, timberland, or Tin	within zonin n any ct wou	forest land or timberland. However, the g because it would not propose a rezone existing Timberland Production Zones. Id not conflict with existing zoning for or
d)	Result in the loss of forest land, conversion changes in the existing environment, which conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	of fore	est land to non-forest use, or involve other to their location or nature, could result in
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

No Impact: Because these activities would occur across the entire county, there is potential for the IVMP and its associated activities to be located within forest land as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g). However, the intent of the proposed IVMP is to reduce the spread of

e)

August 23, 2018 CEQA Initial Study Checklist

mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease. Implementation of IVMP would not result in the disturbance, loss or conversion of forest land resources to a non-forest use. Therefore, the Proposed Project would not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use.

Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature,

	could result in conversion of Important Fa agricultural use?	rmland	or other agricultural resources, to non-
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	\boxtimes	No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
No Impact: While the proposed IVMP would include surveillance and physical, biological, and chemical control methods, this would not result in any land use conversions. The proposed IVMP is not expected to introduce a change to the existing environment which could ultimately result in the conversion of land use. Therefore, no Important Farmland, or other agricultural resources will be converted to a non-agricultural use. As such, no impacts would result.			
III. AIR QUALITY Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:			
a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation o (RAQS) or applicable portions of the State I		
	Potentially Significant Impact	\boxtimes	Less than Significant Impact
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less than Significant Impact: The San Diego RAQS is based on population and vehicle trends as well as land use plans developed by the cities and the County as part of the development of their general plans. As such, projects that propose development consistent with the growth anticipated by the general plans and San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) growth forecasts would be consistent with the RAQS and SIP. The IVMP would not generate growth, increase population, or require the alteration of an existing land use designation through amendments to general plans or changes to zoning. The proposed IVMP includes surveillance and public education of vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods. Therefore, the Proposed Project is not anticipated to conflict with the applicable land use plans nor conflict with or obstruct the implementation of the RAQS or applicable portions of the SIP. Impacts are anticipated to be less than significant; however, this will be further addressed in the PEIR.

b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
construguidelii (SDAP These total ei would criteria reactiv	eral, air quality impacts are the result of emuction activities. The San Diego County ones for determining significance which income composed in the control of the control	Land Uncorpoor all ne umeric ions, as y. Since nds (Vicoast A	Ise Environment Group has established rate the Air Pollution Control District's w source review in SDAPCD Rule 20.2. methods to demonstrate that a project's well as emissions from mobile sources) a SDAPCD does not have screening-level OCs), the use of the screening level for hir Quality Management District for the
surveil control nuisan San D	tially Significant Impact: The proposed IV lance of existing and potential vector threat methods to reduce the spread of mosquice vectors. This would also include publicinego County. As a result, air quality emissified in the PEIR; however, for the purpose cant.	ats as vaito-bori outreations are	vell as physical, biological, and chemical ne and other vector-borne diseases and ch and education in communities across a anticipated to occur. This will be further
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net project region is non-attainment under as standard (including releasing emissions precursors)?	n applic	cable federal or state ambient air quality
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

San Diego County is presently in non-attainment for the 1-hour concentrations under the California Ambient Air Quality Standard for ozone (O_3) . San Diego County is also presently in non-attainment for the annual geometric mean and for the 24-hour concentrations of particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns (PM_{10}) under the California Ambient Air Quality Standard. O_3 is formed when VOCs and nitrogen oxides (NO_X) react in the presence of sunlight. VOC sources include any source that burns fuels (e.g., gasoline, natural gas, wood, oil); solvents; petroleum processing and storage; and pesticides. Sources of PM_{10} in both urban and rural areas include motor vehicles, wood burning stoves and fireplaces, dust from construction, landfills, agriculture, wildfires, brush/waste burning, and industrial sources of windblown dust from open lands.

Potentially Significant Impact: The proposed IVMP would include a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease. This would also include public outreach and education in communities across San Diego County. As a

result, the IVMP has the potential to result in an increase of criteria pollutants (O_3 and PM_{10}) for which San Diego County is in non-attainment. This will be further analyzed in the PEIR; however, for the purpose of this Initial Study impacts could be potentially significant.

a)		Expose sensitive receptors to substantial po	illutant	concentrations?
0		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Disc	uss	sion/Explanation:		
hosp with	ita he	ality regulators typically define sensitive is, resident care facilities, or day-care centeralth conditions that would be adversely imparts residences as sensitive receptors since the	ers, or eacted b	other facilities that may house individuals by changes in air quality. The County also
IVMI pote control disea not in exist would Active cherry that arge sense.	P intiarol notice included inc	han Significant Impact: The Proposed Proin which individual activities would occur ally include activities near sensitive recept methods are needed to protect the public has and nuisance vectors. The proposed modude construction of new stationary source and potential vector threats as well as physical temporary and sporadic in nature dependences are also intended to occur over a wide goal controls would be conducted in full compact California Department of Pesticide Regular of the proposed IVM of the	r throutors sealth asquito s of a ysical, ling on eograpoliance tion. To pis no rations	ughout San Diego County. This could ince mosquito control and other vector and to reduce the spread of vector-borne and other vector control activities would ir emissions. Specifically, surveillance of biological, and chemical control methods the location of an identified vector threat whic area. Furthermore, any application of with legal application rates as regulated herefore, while individual sites would be of anticipated to result in the exposure of
e)		Create objectionable odors affecting a subs	tantial	number of people?
[Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less than Significant Impact: The proposed IVMP includes surveillance and public education of vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods. Chemical control activities are the only component that could potentially create objectionable odors. Although all chemicals, including pesticide, larvicide, and adulticide are applied in accordance with label requirements and legal application rates, various compounds found within these chemicals could emit odors when they evaporate into the air resulting in airborne particles and vapors. Therefore, an application containing an odorous compound could potentially impact people for a period of time. However, spraying (or applying) chemical controls would be temporary and sporadic depending on the location of an identified vector risk. Therefore, while the proposed IVMP has the potential to result in odors, they are anticipated to be confined and localized to a specific area for a short period of time, and are not anticipated to affect a substantial number of people. As such, impacts from objectionable odors would be less than significant.

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:

a)	Have a substantial adverse effect, either of species identified as a candidate, sensitive plans, policies, or regulations, or by the Carrish and Wildlife Service?	e, or s	pecial status species in local or regional
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
Potentially Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP in which individual activities would occur throughout San Diego County. It would include a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. As a result, the IVMP has the potential to result in adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, to special status species. This will be further analyzed in the PEIR; however, for the purpose of this Initial Study impacts could be potentially significant.			
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a community identified in local or regional propertment of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish	olans,	policies, regulations, or by the California
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Potentially Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP in which individual activities would occur throughout San Diego County. It would include a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. This could potentially include, but not be limited to, removal of vegetation or sediment, interruption of water flow, rotation of stored water, pumping and/or filling sources, improving drainage and water circulation systems, and installing, removing, or improving culverts, tide gates, and other water control structures. As a result, the IVMP has the potential to result in adverse effects on riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities. However, any potential impacts to riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities would be avoided or minimized to the extent feasible, and would be permitted and mitigated in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local requirements. This will be further analyzed in the PEIR; however, for the purpose of this Initial Study impacts could be potentially significant.

As of November 2011, pesticides applied to Waters of the U.S. for vector control purposes must be conducted in accordance with the Statewide National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for *Biological and Residual Pesticide Discharges to Waters of the U.S. from Vector Control Applications*. At that time the VCP received a Notice of Authorization from the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) to operate under this general permit. In 2014, the SWRCB amended the permit (2014-0106-DWQ) which: (1) added all larvicides and adulticides that are currently registered by Department of Pesticide Regulations (DPR) using the same active ingredients; (2) included additional receiving water limitations and receiving water monitoring

triggers for newly added active ingredients; and (3) included a provision for reopening the permit to include new active ingredients that DPR registers for vector control. Most recently, the SWRCB reissued the general permit (2016-0039-DWQ), which became effective on July 1, 2016. The updated permit includes the addition of minimum risk pesticides which are pesticides exempted from Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act requirements when used only in the manner specified by federal regulations. The VCP remains an authorized enrollee under the current permit.

c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?			
\boxtimes	Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact	
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact	
Discus	sion/Explanation:			
Potentially Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP in which individual activities would occur throughout San Diego County. It would include a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. As a result, the IVMP has the potential to result in adverse effects on federally protected wetlands. This will be further analyzed in the PEIR; however, for the purpose of this Initial Study impacts could be potentially significant.				
the S\	cussed above in response to Biological Res WRCB NPDES Permit 2016-0039-DWQ, vs of the U.S.		• •	
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of species or with established native resident of native wildlife nursery sites?	-	•	
\boxtimes	Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact	
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact	
Discus	sion/Explanation:			
Potentially Significant Impact: The proposed IVMP would target identified vector threats and apply various control methods to protect the public from vector-borne disease and nuisances. The Proposed Project is not intended to interfere with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species. However, habitat supporting said species could potentially result in interference with wildlife movement. This will be further analyzed in the PEIR; however, for the purpose of this Initial Study impacts could be potentially significant.				
e)	Conflict with the provisions of any adopted I Conservation Plan, other approved local, re other local policies or ordinances that protect	egional	or state habitat conservation plan or any	
\boxtimes			Less than Significant Impact	
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact	

Discussion/Explanation:

Potentially Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP in which individual activities would occur throughout San Diego County. It would include a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. Implementation of the IVMP could potentially result in conflicts with Habitat Conservation Plans, Natural Communities Conservation Plan or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan. This potential conflict with habitat conservation plans will be further discussed in the PEIR.

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:

a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the in 15064.5?	ne signi	icance of a historical resource as defined
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
existing to red would Physic manage would disturb	apact: The proposed IVMP would includ g and potential vector threats as well as puce the spread of mosquito-borne and or also include public outreach and educated control activities are the only compone gement in order to survey and abate vector include development or construction of pance or alteration of existing historical recal resources would occur.	hysical, ther ve tion in nts that ors and new st	biological, and chemical control methods ctor-borne diseases and nuisances. This communities across San Diego County may involve minor grading or vegetation I nuisances. Implementation of the IVMF ructures or facilities that would result in the
b)	Cause a substantial adverse change in pursuant to 15064.5?	the si	gnificance of an archaeological resource
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Potentially Significant Impact: Among other activities, the proposed IVMP may include physical control methods for the purpose of monitoring and abatement to improve water circulation in aquatic habitats. This could potentially include, but not be limited to, removal of vegetation or sediment, interruption of water flow, rotation of stored water, pumping and/or filling sources, improving drainage and water circulation systems, and installing, removing, or improving culverts, tide gates, and other water control structures. As a result, these activities may have the potential to result in an adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource. However, any potential impacts to archeological resources would be avoided or minimized to the extent feasible, and would be mitigated in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local requirements. This will be further analyzed in the PEIR; however, for the purpose of this Initial Study impacts could be potentially significant.

c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique geologic fe	eature?		
Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact No Impact		
Discussion/Explanation:			
San Diego County has a variety of geologic environme occur in other parts of the state, country, and the wobeing unique in one way or another within the boundaries	orld. However, some features stand out as		
Potentially Significant Impact: As discussed above in response to V(b), physical control activities proposed under the IVMP could potentially involve grading or earthwork-related activities. As a result, the Proposed Project has the potential to directly or indirectly destroy a unique geologic feature. Therefore, impacts to unique geologic features will be further discussed in the PEIR.			
d) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontolo	gical resource or site?		
Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact No Impact		
Discussion/Explanation:			
Potentially Significant Impact: As discussed above in response to V(b), physical control activities proposed under the IVMP could potentially involve grading or earthwork-related activities. As a result, the Proposed Project has the potential to result in a direct or indirect impact to a unique paleontological resource. Therefore, impacts to unique paleontological resources will be further discussed in the PEIR.			
e) Disturb any human remains, including those into	erred outside of dedicated cemeteries?		
Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact No Impact		
Discussion/Explanation:			
Potentially Significant Impact : As discussed above in response to V(b), physical control activities proposed under the IVMP could potentially involve grading or earthwork-related activities. As a result, the Proposed Project has the potential to disturb human remains, including those interred			

outside of formal cemeteries. Therefore, this will be further discussed in the PEIR.

If implementation of the IVMP resulted in the discovery of human remains, the County Coroner would be contacted and appropriate measures implemented, consistent with State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, which prohibits unauthorized disinterring, disturbing, or removing of human remains from any location.

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Would the project:

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:

I.	Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Mar	issue e of a	delineated on the most recent Alquisted by the State Geologist for the area or known fault? Refer to Division of Mines	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact	
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	\boxtimes	No Impact	
Discussi	on/Explanation:			
individua rupture t buildings of existi methods County.	act: The Proposed Project includes impleat activities could occur in a wide range of long and zones. However, the proposed IVMI is or structures. Rather, the IVMP would include and potential vector threats as well is. This would also include public outreach a Therefore, the IVMP will not expose people a known fault-rupture hazard zone.	ocation woul clude a as ph and ed	is and may be located near or within fault d not involve the construction of habitable a range of activities involving surveillance hysical, biological, and chemical control fucation in communities across San Diego	
ii	i. Strong seismic ground shaking?			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation		Less than Significant Impact	
	Incorporated	\boxtimes	No Impact	
Discussi	ion/Explanation:			
methods habitable	No Impact: The proposed IVMP would target identified vector threats and apply various control methods to protect the public from vector-borne disease and nuisances, and it would not propose habitable buildings or structures. Therefore, the IVMP will not expose people or structures to the risk of loss, injury, or death involving strong seismic ground shaking.			
i	ii. Seismic-related ground failure, inclu	ding lic	juefaction?	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact	
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	\boxtimes	No Impact	
Discuss	ion/Explanation:			
activities liquefact building	pact: The Proposed Project includes imples could occur in a wide range of location tion areas. However, the proposed IVMP s or structures. Therefore, the IVMP will now the involving seismic-related ground fai	s and would ot expo	may be located near or within potential not involve the construction of habitable se people or structures to the risk of loss,	
i	v. Landslides?			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discuss	ion/Explanation:		8	

Page 18 of 47

No Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP. Individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations and may be located near or within landslide susceptibility areas. However, the proposed IVMP would not involve the construction of habitable buildings or structures. Therefore, the IVMP will not expose people or structures to the risk of loss, injury, or death involving landslides.

b)	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
control nuisan and/or implen	tially Significant Impact: Among other active methods to reduce the spread of mosquinces. As a result, minor grading activities of the loss of topsoil. In order to minimize the mentation of Best Management Practices, it is to result in soil erosion and the loss of top	to-bori could poten f appli	ne and other vector-borne diseases and potentially occur resulting in soil erosion tial for soil erosion, activities will consider cable. Therefore, the potential for IVMP
c)	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is result of the project, and potentially result subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
IVMP. geolog contro to redu anticip geolog spread	than Significant Impact: The Proposed Pro- Individual activities could occur in a wide gic unit or soil that is unstable. While it is pool I methods such as minor grading or vegetation uce the spread of mosquito-borne and other wated to include significant earthwork or other gic unit or soil. For further information in ding, refer to VI. Geology and Soils, Questived to be less than significant.	range essible on mar vector- ther ac regard	e of locations and may be located on a that IVMP activities may include physical nagement, the proposed IVMP is intended borne diseases and nuisances, and is not ctivities that would result in an unstableing landslides, liquefaction, and lateral
d)	Be located on expansive soil, as defined (1994), creating substantial risks to life or pro-		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		

Less than Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP. Individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations and may be located on expansive soils. However, the proposed IVMP is intended to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne

County Department of Environmental Health Integrated Vector Management Program

and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances, and is not anticipated to include installation of structures or other facilities that would create substantial risks to life or property. Therefore, impacts are anticipated to be less than significant.

•	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:
existing to redu would Activitie	pact: The proposed IVMP would include a range of activities involving surveillance of and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods are the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. This also include public outreach and education in communities across San Diego County would not include installation of any septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposals; therefore, no impact would result due to implementation of the Proposed Project.
VII. GR	REENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS Would the project:
,	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions result in an increase in Earth's average surface temperature commonly referred to as global warming. This rise in global temperature is associated with long-term changes in precipitation, temperature, wind patterns, and other elements of the earth's climate system, and is known as global climate change. These changes are now broadly attributed to GHG emissions, particularly those emissions that result from the human production and use of fossil fuels.

GHGs include carbon dioxide, methane, halocarbons, and nitrous oxide, among others. Human induced GHG emissions are a result of energy production and consumption, and personal vehicle use, among other sources. A regional GHG inventory prepared for the San Diego Region³ identified on-road transportation (cars and trucks) as the largest contributor of GHG emissions in the region, accounting for 46% of the total regional emissions. Electricity and natural gas combustion were the second (25%) and third (9%) largest regional contributors, respectively, to regional GHG emissions.

Climate changes resulting from GHG emissions could produce an array of adverse environmental impacts including water supply shortages, severe drought, increased flooding, sea level rise, air pollution from increased formation of ground level O₃ and particulate matter, ecosystem changes,

³ San Diego County Greenhouse Gas Inventory: An Analysis of Regional Emissions and Strategies to Achieve AB 32 Targets. University of San Diego and the Energy Policy Initiatives Center, September 2008.

increased wildfire risk, agricultural impacts, ocean and terrestrial species impacts, among other adverse effects.

In 2006, the State passed the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, commonly referred to as Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), which set the GHG emissions reduction goal for the State of California into law. In 2008, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) adopted the first iteration of the Climate Change Scoping Plan: A Framework for Change (Initial Scoping Plan), which identified the main strategies California would implement to achieve the GHG reductions necessary to reduce forecasted business-as-usual emissions in 2020 to the State's historic 1990 emissions level (CARB 2008). CARB approved the first update to the Initial Scoping Plan in May 2014 (2014 Scoping Plan Update).

In 2015, Executive Order B-30-15 directed the CARB to update the Scoping Plan to establish a mid-term GHG reduction target for California of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030. In November 2017, CARB released the 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Update, the Strategy for Achieving California's 2030 GHG Target (2017 Scoping Plan Update; CARB 2017). The 2017 Scoping Plan Update identifies state strategies for achieving the state's 2020 and 2030 GHG emissions reduction targets codified by the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32) and Senate Bill (SB 32), which amended and extended the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 beyond 2020 to 2030.

Senate Bill 375 (SB 375), passed in 2008, links transportation and land use planning with global warming. It requires the CARB to set regional targets for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions from passenger vehicles. Under this law, if regions develop integrated land use, housing and transportation plans that meet SB 375 targets, new projects in these regions can be relieved of certain review requirements under CEQA. SANDAG has prepared a Sustainable Communities Strategy, which is a new element of the 2050 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The strategy identifies how regional GHG reduction targets, as established by the CARB, will be achieved through development patterns, transportation infrastructure investments, and/or transportation measures or policies that are determined to be feasible.

It should be noted that an individual project's GHG emissions will generally not result in direct impacts under CEQA, as the climate change issue is global in nature, however an individual project could be found to contribute to a potentially significant cumulative impact. CEQA Guidelines Section 15130 states that an EIR shall discuss cumulative impacts of a proposed project when the project's incremental effect is cumulatively considerable.

On February 14, 2018, the County Board of Supervisors adopted the Climate Action Plan (CAP), which identifies specific strategies and measures to reduce GHG emissions in the largely rural, unincorporated areas of San Diego County as well as County government buildings and operations. The CAP updates and implements the County's 2011 General Plan Update goals, policies, and reduction measures to meet the State's GHG reduction targets including AB 32 (2020 goal) and SB 32 (2030 goal), and to demonstrate progress towards a 2050 GHG reduction goal (County 2018). The plan includes six chapters: (1) Introduction; (2) Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory, Projections, and Reductions Targets; (3) Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategies and Measures; (4) Climate Change Vulnerability, Resiliency, and Adaptation; (5) Implementation and Monitoring; and (6) Public Outreach and Engagement.

Concurrent with adoption of the CAP, the County adopted new Guidelines for Determining Significance for Climate Change, which identifies that a proposed project would have a less than significant cumulatively considerable contribution to global climate change if it is consistent with the County's CAP. As defined in these Guidelines, consistency with the CAP is determined through the CAP Consistency Review Checklist (Checklist). The Checklist follows a two-step process to determine if projects are consistent with the CAP and whether they may have a significant

cumulative impact under the County's adopted GHG thresholds of significance. The Checklist further explains that if a project is consistent with the projections and land use assumptions in the 2011 General Plan Update and CAP, its associated growth in terms of GHG emissions would have been accounted for in the CAP's projections and project implementation of the CAP reduction measures will contribute towards reducing the County's emissions and meeting the County's reduction targets.

Potentially Significant Impact: The proposed IVMP includes a range of vector management activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. This would also include public outreach and education in communities across San Diego County. As a result, the IVMP would result in GHG emissions from various sources including on-road fleet vehicles, watercraft, aircraft, portable equipment (pumps, sprayers, generators), and small equipment (handheld sprayers, foggers, dusters). The dominant fuel used for these mobile sources is motor gasoline along with some diesel fuel (larger trucks), and jet fuel (turbine-powered aircraft).

As noted in the CAP Appendix B,

the County's vehicle fleet operated on gasoline, diesel, and compressed natural gas (CNG) fuels in 2014. This included both on-road and off-road vehicle fleet and equipment, such as construction equipment and airport ground support equipment... Fuel use and mileage by vehicle type was recorded into [the Climate Registry Information System (CRIS)] from County records and fuel-specific or vehicle-specific emission factors from [The Climate Registry (TCR)] were applied to estimate vehicle fleet emissions in 2014. CO₂ emission factors for all three fuel types are based on the volume of gasoline or diesel and the total energy content of purchased CNG fuel.

As cited above, the CAP accounted for the County's vehicle fleet usage, which includes the County's DEH fleet, as part of the baseline inventory of GHG emissions. The potential for the IVMP to result in GHG impacts on the environment will be further analyzed in the PEIR, but for the purpose of this Initial Study, impacts are considered potentially significant.

b)	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or remissions of greenhouse gases?	egulation	on adopted for the purpose of reducing the
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Potentially Significant Impact: As explained above in response to Greenhouse Gas Emissions - VII(a), the IVMP would result in GHG emissions from various sources as a result of implementation of physical, biological, and chemical controls as well as surveillance and public outreach to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. Therefore, the IVMP's potential to conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions will be further analyzed in the PEIR; however, for the purpose of this Initial Study impacts could be potentially significant.

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS -- Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, storage, use, or disposal of hazardous materials or wastes or through reasonably

August 23, 2018 **CEQA Initial Study Checklist**

foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?
Less than Significant With Mitigation No Impact
Discussion/Explanation:
Potentially Significant Impact: Among other activities, the Proposed Project would include chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease. This may include, but not be limited to, of insecticides, pesticides, and herbicides. Regarding mosquitoes, the frequency and extent of the chemical applications will vary depending on the type of species, mosquito abundance, water temperature, organic content, time of year, and other factors. In addition, phased risk levels have been established to identify when there is an elevated public health risk, and how the VCP would respond to each risk level (e.g., outreach, testing, treatment, etc.). The VCP strives to safeguard the public's health from West Nile Virus, Zika, and other diseases as well as to minimize harmful effects on the environment by using this risk-analysis approach to control the spread of such diseases. Public communication, education, and transparency of operations are the cornerstones of the VCP's strategy to protect the public. In addition, various federal, state, and local regulatory agencies conduct oversight of vector control activities, which must adhere to current regulations and manufacturer label requirements. Any application of chemical controls would be conducted in full compliance with legal application rates as regulated by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation.
Also, as discussed above in response to Biological Resources $-$ IV(b), the VCP is a current enrollee of the SWRCB NPDES Permit 2016-0039-DWQ, which authorizes vector control application in Waters of the U.S.
While the IVMP would comply with all governing regulations and applicable agencies, for the purpose of this Initial Study impacts could be potentially significant. This will be further analyzed in the PEIR.
b) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?
 ✓ Potentially Significant Impact ✓ Less than Significant Impact ✓ Incorporated ✓ Less than Significant Impact ✓ No Impact
Discussion/Explanation:

Potentially Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP. As such, individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations and could potentially be located within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. As discussed above in response to Hazards and Hazardous Materials - VIII(a), the VCP strives to safeguard the public's health from West Nile Virus, Zika, and other diseases as well as to minimize harmful effects on the environment by using a risk-analysis approach to control the spread of such diseases. Public communication, education, and transparency of operations are the cornerstones of the VCP's strategy to protect the public. Therefore, this will be further analyzed in the PEIR.

Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled c) pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5, or is otherwise known to have been

	subject to a release of hazardous substance hazard to the public or the environment?	es and	, as a result, would it create a significant
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
IVMP. be loca Govern hazard VIII(a),	As such, individual activities could occur in ated on a site which is included on a list of lament Code Section 65962.5, or is otherwise ous substances. As discussed above in rest for the purpose of this Initial Study impacts analyzed in the PEIR.	a wide nazard se knov sponse	e range of locations and could potentially ous materials sites compiled pursuant to wn to have been subject to a release of to Hazards and Hazardous Materials –
d)	For a project located within an airport land adopted, within two miles of a public airport a safety hazard for people residing or working	or pub	olic use airport, would the project result in
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
individu land us plan ha habitat	pact: The Proposed Project includes impleual activities could occur in a wide range of se planning area or within two miles of a plas not been adopted. However, the Proposed ble or commercial structures that would creat project area. Therefore, no impacts would occording the proposed of the proposed or commercial structures that would occording the project area.	location de la locati	ons potentially including within an airport irport or public use airport where such a ct would not construct residential or other
e)	For a project within the vicinity of a priva hazard for people residing or working in the		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
individ of a p habital	ipact: The Proposed Project includes imploual activities could occur in a wide range of private airstrip. However, the Proposed Proble or commercial structures that would creat project area. Therefore, no impacts would oc	locatio oject v te a sa	ons potentially including within the vicinity would not construct residential or other
f)	Impair implementation of or physically inter or emergency evacuation plan?	fere wi	ith an adopted emergency response plan
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

The following sections summarize the Proposed Project's consistency with applicable emergency response plans or emergency evacuation plans.

i. OPERATIONAL AREA EMERGENCY PLAN AND MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN:

Less than Significant Impact: The Operational Area Emergency Plan is a comprehensive emergency plan that defines responsibilities, establishes an emergency organization, defines lines of communications, and is designed to be part of the statewide Standardized Emergency Management System. The Operational Area Emergency Plan provides guidance for emergency planning and requires subsequent plans to be established by each jurisdiction that has responsibilities in a disaster situation. The Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan includes an overview of the risk assessment process, identifies hazards present in the jurisdiction, hazard profiles, and vulnerability assessments. The plan also identifies goals, objectives, and actions for each jurisdiction in San Diego County, including all cities and the County unincorporated areas. The IVMP will not interfere with this plan because it will not prohibit subsequent plans from being established or prevent the goals and objectives of existing plans from being carried out.

ii. SAN DIEGO COUNTY NUCLEAR POWER STATION EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN

No Impact: Implementation of the countywide IVMP will not interfere with the San Diego County Nuclear Power Station Emergency Response Plan due to the nature of the Proposed Project. The emergency plan for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station includes an emergency planning zone within a 10-mile radius. All land area within 10 miles of the plant is not within the unincorporated jurisdiction of the County and as such a project in the unincorporated area is not expected to interfere with any response or evacuation.

iii. OIL SPILL CONTINGENCY ELEMENT

Less than Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP. As such, individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations and could potentially be located within the coastal zone or coastline. However, the intent of the proposed IVMP is to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease, and will not prevent the goals and objectives from the Oil Spill Contingency Element from being implemented.

iv. EMERGENCY WATER CONTINGENCIES ANNEX AND ENERGY SHORTAGE RESPONSE PLAN

No Impact: The Emergency Water Contingencies Annex and Energy Shortage Response Plan will not be interfered with because the Proposed Project does not propose altering major water or energy supply infrastructure, such as the California Aqueduct.

v. DAM EVACUATION PLAN

Less than Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP. As such, individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations and could potentially be located within a Dam Inundation Area. However, the intent of the proposed IVMP is to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease, and will not prevent the goals and objectives from Dam Evacuations Plans from being implemented. Since the IVMP will not construct unique institutions in a dam inundation zone, the Proposed Project will not impair implementation of or physically interfere with the implementation of an emergency response plan.

impacts could be potentially significant.

1	Expose people or structures to a significan fires, including where wildlands are adjaced intermixed with wildlands?		
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:		
death i	pact: The IVMP will not expose people or some solution wildland fires because individual and buildings or structures.	structu activitie	res to a significant risk of loss, injury, or es would not involve the construction of
	Propose a use, or place residents adjacen that would substantially increase current or mosquitoes, rats or flies, which are capable or nuisances?	future	resident's exposure to vectors, including
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	\boxtimes	No Impact
Discuss	sion/Explanation:		
potentia spread and ed place r	pact: The proposed IVMP includes a range of all vector threats as well as physical, biologic of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne of ucation in communities across San Diego of esidents adjacent to an existing or reason the current or future resident's exposure to vertical vectors.	cal, and lisease County liably fo	d chemical control methods to reduce the e. This would also include public outreach the IVMP would not propose a use, or
IX. HY	DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Wou	ld the	project:
a)	Violate any waste discharge requirements?		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
state, a VCP is control	ially Significant Impact: All proposed IVMF and local regulations. As discussed above in a current enrollee of the SWRCB NPDES F application in Waters of the U.S. The properties will be further analyzed in the PEIR	n respo Permit : posed	onse to Biological Resources – IV(b), the 2016-0039-DWQ, which authorizes vector IVMP's compliance with waste discharge

b) Is the project tributary to an already impaired water body, as listed on the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) list? If so, could the project result in an increase in any pollutant for which the water body is already impaired?

\boxtimes	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discuss	ion/Explanation:			
IVMP w nuisanc potentia list. The	Potentially Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP with the intent to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. As such, individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations and could potentially be located near an impaired water body as listed on the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) list. Therefore, the potential for the Proposed Project to result in an increase in any pollutant for which the water body is already impaired will be further analyzed in the PEIR.			
	Could the proposed project cause or contrilgroundwater receiving water quality objectiv		• •	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discuss	ion/Explanation:			
physical other ve potential water qu	Potentially Significant Impact: Among other activities, the proposed IVMP would include physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease and nuisances. The implementation of these control methods could potentially cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable surface or groundwater receiving water quality objectives or degradation of beneficial uses. Therefore, this will be further analyzed in the PEIR as potentially significant.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Substantially deplete groundwater supplied recharge such that there would be a net design and the production a level which would not support existing lare been granted)?	eficit in rate o	aquifer volume or a lowering of the local of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discuss	sion/Explanation:			
No Impact : Among other activities, the proposed IVMP would include physical control methods; however these activities are not expected to result in the depletion of groundwater supplies or interference with groundwater recharge. Individual activities are not expected to result in increased surface runoff as they will not increase the amount of impervious areas (which will reduce recharge). The use of groundwater is not proposed as a component of the IVMP. As such, no impacts are anticipated.				
	Substantially alter the existing drainage pa alteration of the course of a stream or rivel erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	

Discussion/Explanation:

Potentially Significant Impact: Among other activities, the proposed IVMP would include physical control methods. This could potentially include, but not be limited to, removal of vegetation or sediment, interruption of water flow, rotation of stored water, pumping and/or filling sources, improving drainage and water circulation systems, and installing, removing, or improving culverts, tide gates, and other water control structures. These activities could potentially alter the existing drainage pattern of a site or area, which could affect erosion or siltation on- or off-site. Therefore, the potential for the IVMP to substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of a site will be further discussed in the PEIR.

f)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pate alteration of the course of a stream or river, surface runoff in a manner which would rest	or sub	stantially increase the rate or amount of
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
IX(e), but no stored and ir These increa site. T	tially Significant Impact: As discussed about the proposed IVMP would include physical of be limited to, removal of vegetation or so water, pumping and/or filling sources, impostalling, removing, or improving culverts, activities could potentially alter the existing see the rate or amount of surface runoff in a therefore, the potential for the IVMP to substitute the substitute of the sub	contro sedime roving tide ga draina manne	I methods. This could potentially include, nt, interruption of water flow, rotation of drainage and water circulation systems, ates, and other water control structures. age pattern of a site or area, which could er which could result in flooding on- or off-
g)	Create or contribute runoff water which wou storm water drainage systems?	ıld exc	eed the capacity of existing or planned
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
that w	than Significant Impact: The IVMP does will exceed the capacity of existing or plants will be less than significant.	not pro	pose to create or contribute runoff water orm water drainage systems. Therefore,
h)	Provide substantial additional sources of po	olluted	runoff?
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		

August 23, 2018 CEQA Initial Study Checklist

Less than Significant Impact: The IVMP does not propose to construct any structures, facilities, or services that would result in new or additional sources of runoff. In addition, among other activities the proposed IVMP would include chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. This may include, but not be limited to, insecticides, pesticides, and herbicide. As such, various federal, state, and local agencies conduct oversight over vector control activities, and all activities must adhere to certain regulations and manufacturer label requirements. Any application of chemical controls would be conducted in full compliance with legal application rates as regulated by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.

i)		Place housing within a 100-year flood haza Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or County Floodplain Maps?		
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Disc	cus	sion/Explanation:		
to re	edu inc	pact: The Proposed Project includes implem ce the spread of mosquito-borne and other value the construction of housing or other b	vector-	borne disease. The IVMP activities would
j)		Place within a 100-year flood hazard area flows?	structu	res which would impede or redirect flood
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Disc	cus	sion/Explanation:		
the tide The	IVN ga refo	cially Significant Impact: While housing and MP, water control structures or other facilities tes, could be proposed and could potentially ore, the potential for IVMP activities to impact 100-year flood hazard area will be further or	s, such be pla bede o	as water circulation systems, culverts, or aced within a 100-year flood hazard area. r redirect flood flows through placement
k)		Expose people or structures to a significant	risk of	loss, injury or death involving flooding?
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less than Significant Impact: The IVMP does not propose construction of housing or habitable structures; therefore, the Proposed Project will not expose people to a significant risk of loss, injury or death. Also, water circulation techniques (such as water control structures) may be included in the IVMP as discussed above in response to Hydrology and Water Quality - IX(f). However, the installation of such facilities are not anticipated to expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death. This will be further analyzed in the PEIR.

l)	Expose people or structures to a significant a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	risk of	loss, injury or death involving flooding as
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
IX(k), a	than Significant Impact: As discussed above although individual IVMP activities could be it include the construction of habitable built g as a result of the failure of a dam or levee.	locate	ed within dam inundation areas, activities or structures that will be susceptible to
m)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
i.	SEICHE		
to redu individ the sh constru	pact: The Proposed Project includes implending the spread of mosquito-borne and other ual activities could occur in a wide range of sore of a lake or a reservoir subject to seluction of habitable structures that will place Therefore, no impacts will occur.	vector locatio iche. F	-borne diseases and nuisances. As such, ns and could potentially be located along lowever, the IVMP will not result in the
ii.	TSUNAMI		
individ coast.	pact: The Proposed Project includes impler ual activities could occur in a wide range of I However, the IVMP will not result in the coe at risk or harm if a tsunami event were to oc	ocatior nstruc	ns potentially including within a mile of the tion of habitable structures that will place
iii.	MUDFLOW		
No Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP, and as such, individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations potentially including within a landslide susceptibility zone. However, the IVMP will not result in the construction of habitable structures that will place people at risk or harm if a mudflow event were to occur. Therefore, no impacts will occur.			
X. LA	ND USE AND PLANNING Would the project	ect:	
a)	Physically divide an established community	?	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

August 23, 2018 CEQA Initial Study Checklist

Incorporated

Discussion/Explanation:

No Impact: The Proposed Project would not introduce new infrastructure such as major roadways, water supply systems, or utilities to the area. Therefore, the Proposed Project will not significantly disrupt or divide the established community.

b)	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, pover the project (including, but not limited program, or zoning ordinance) adopted environmental effect?	to the	general plan, specific plan, local coastal
e	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

No Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP with the intent to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. It includes a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease. This would also include public outreach and education in communities across San Diego County. These activities would not alter the type of land use nor interfere with existing land uses, and therefore, would be consistent and not conflict with local land use plans or regulations.

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:

a)	Result in the loss of availability of a know region and the residents of the state?	n minera	I resource that would be of value to the
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less than Significant Impact: The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP. As such, individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations and could potentially be located on lands designated MRZ-2 by the California Department of Conservation Division of Mines and Geology. MRZ-2 is defined as an area where adequate information indicates that significant mineral deposits are present or where it is judged that a high likelihood for their presents exist. However, the intent of the proposed IVMP is to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne disease. Therefore, while it is possible that IVMP activities may include physical control methods such as minor grading or vegetation management, it would not include significant earthwork or other activities that would result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that will be of value to the region and the residents of the state. Furthermore, the IVMP would not result in the redesignation of existing land uses or zoning regulations, nor would it preclude future mining activities. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant.

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discus	sion/Explanation:			
mplem location of Cor include include known redesig	than Significant Impact: As discuss nentation of the countywide IVMP. Individually and could potentially be located on lands asservation Division of Mines and Geology exphysical control methods such as minor expensions are significant earthwork or other activities to mineral resource that will locally important gnation of existing land uses or zoning resources. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant earthworks will be less than significant expensions.	dual ac desigr While grading that wo t. Furth egulatio	ctivities could occur in a wide range of nated MRZ-2 by the California Department it is possible that IVMP activities may or vegetation management, it would not uld result in the loss of availability of a ermore, the IVMP would not result in the	
XII. N	OISE Would the project result in:			
a)	Exposure of persons to or generation of n the local general plan or noise ordinance, or			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discus	ssion/Explanation:			
Potentially Significant Impact: Among other activities, the proposed IVMP would include physical and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. As a result, temporary short-term noise sources may expose people to potentially significant noise levels that exceed the allowable limits of the applicable noise ordinance and/or General Plan Noise Element, depending on the distance of activities to sensitive receptors. Noise sources could potentially include, but not be limited to, truck- or trailer-mounted gas-powered sprayers used for pesticide application, gas-powered backpack and hand-held application equipment, and other motorized vehicles (e.g., aircraft, watercraft). Therefore, noise impacts will be further discussed in the PEIR.				
b)	Exposure of persons to or generation of onoise levels?	excessi	ve groundborne vibration or groundborne	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discus	ssion/Explanation:			

No Impact: Activities proposed under the IVMP will not propose any of the following land uses that can be impacted by groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.

1. Buildings where low ambient vibration is essential for interior operation, including research and manufacturing facilities with special vibration constraints.

- 2. Residences and buildings where people normally sleep including hotels, hospitals, residences and where low ambient vibration is preferred.
- 3. Civic and institutional land uses including schools, churches, libraries, other institutions, and quiet office where low ambient vibration is preferred.
- 4. Concert halls for symphonies or other special use facilities where low ambient vibration is preferred.

Also, IVMP activities will not propose any major, new, or expanded infrastructure such as mass transit, highways or major roadways or intensive extractive industry that could generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels on-site or in the surrounding area.

c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambier existing without the project?	nt noise	e levels in the project vicinity above levels
	Potentially Significant Impact	\boxtimes	Less than Significant Impact
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
Less than Significant Impact: Among other activities, the proposed IVMP would include physical and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. As discussed above, temporary short-term noise sources may expose people to potentially significant noise levels; however, no activities are proposed that are anticipated to result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels.			
d)	A substantial temporary or periodic increase above levels existing without the project?	in am	bient noise levels in the project vicinity
\boxtimes			Less than Significant Impact
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
Potentially Significant Impact: See response to Noise – XII(a) and (c) above. Individual activities would include implementation of physical or chemical control methods that could potentially generate periodic increases in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of an individual site. Therefore, the potential noise impacts will be further addressed in the PEIR.			
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			
	Potentially Significant Impact	\boxtimes	Less than Significant Impact
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact
Discus	ssion/Evolanation:		

Less than Significant Impact: The Proposed Project does not propose to construct residential or other habitable or commercial structures. The Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP. As such, individual activities could occur in a wide range of locations and could

County Department of Environmental Health Integrated Vector Management Program

potentially be located within an airport land use plan area or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport. However, based on the temporary nature of proposed IVMP activities, the Proposed Project would not expose people residing or working in the project areas to excessive noise levels.

f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private a or working in the project area to excessive r		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
Projec range based	than Significant Impact: See response to t includes implementation of the countywide of locations and could potentially be located on the temporary nature of proposed IVI e people residing or working in the project are	IVMP, within MP ac	individual activities could occur in a wide the vicinity of a private airstrip. However, tivities, the Proposed Project would not
XIII. P	POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the	oroject	
a)	Induce substantial population growth in an new homes and businesses) or indirectly (finfrastructure)?		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
vector becau restric new o scale or reg reclas	pact: The intent of the proposed IVMP is to borne disease. Individual activities under IV se they will not propose any physical or retion to or encouraging population growth in extended infrastructure or public facilities residential development; accelerated convergulatory changes including General Plan a sifications, sewer or water annexations; or Los. Therefore, no impacts will occur.	MP wil gulator an ar ; new sion of imendr	I not induce substantial population growth by change that would involve removing a ea including, but limited to the following: commercial or industrial facilities; large- homes to commercial or multi-family use; ments, specific plan amendments, zone
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existin replacement housing elsewhere?	g hou	sing, necessitating the construction of
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

No Impact: The proposed IVMP includes a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. This would also include

public outreach and education in communities across San Diego County. The IVMP would not include displacement of existing housing. Therefore, no impact will occur. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement c) housing elsewhere? Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation No Impact Incorporated Discussion/Explanation: No Impact: The proposed IVMP includes a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. This would also include public outreach and education in communities across San Diego County. The IVMP would not include displacement of people. Therefore, no impact will occur. XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: i. Fire protection? Police protection? ii. Schools? iii. iv. Parks? Other public facilities? V. Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation No Impact Incorporated Discussion/Explanation: No Impact: The intent of the proposed IVMP is to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. Individual activities under IVMP will not include residential components. Therefore, the Proposed Project will not result in the need for the provision of additional governmental facilities, and as such, no impact will occur. XV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant Impact

No Impact

Less than Significant With Mitigation

Incorporated

Discussion/Explanation:

h)

No Impact: Individual activities under IVMP will not include any residential use, included but not limited to a residential subdivision, mobile home park, or construction of a single-family residence that may increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities in the vicinity. Furthermore, the IVMP would not result in any changes to existing land uses that would accelerate or result in the deterioration of recreational facilities. Therefore, no impacts will occur.

b)		Does the project include recreational facilities, which might have an a		
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Disc	cus	sion/Explanation:		
No Impact: Individual activities under IVMP will not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities. Therefore, no impact will occur.				
 XVI. TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC Would the project: a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of the effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths and mass transit? 				
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

The County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance for Traffic and Transportation (Guidelines) establish measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system. These Guidelines incorporate standards from the County of San Diego Public Road Standards and Mobility Element, the County of San Diego Transportation Impact Fee Program and the Congestion Management Program.

Less than Significant Impact: The proposed IVMP would include a range of activities involving surveillance of existing and potential vector threats as well as physical, biological, and chemical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. This would also include public outreach and education in communities across San Diego County. As a result, light truck and automobile trips would be required to transport workers, materials, and equipment. These trips would be consistent with present traffic operation levels and not result in a substantial change in vehicle use over existing conditions. Although impacts are anticipated to be less than significant, this will be further discussed in the PEIR.

b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

		epartment of Environmental Health Vector Management Program		August 23, 2018 CEQA Initial Study Checklist
]	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discu	ssi	on/Explanation:		
is res eleme long-t CMP that g vehicl impac Early North	ent terr inc jen le ts pro	ignated congestion management agency for nsible for preparing the RTP of which the Consible for preparing the RTP of which the Consider transportation system performs on congestion, and better integrate land usual cludes a requirement for enhanced CEQA release an equivalent of 2,400 or more average trips. These large projects must complete on CMP system roadways, their association coordination with affected public agerounty Transit District is required to ensure erformance measures are identified.	Conge ance, se and eview ge dai trated concies,	stion Management Program (CMP) is an develop programs to address near- and d transportation planning decisions. The applicable to certain large developments by vehicle trips or 200 or more peak hour affic analysis that identifies the project's losts, and identify appropriate mitigation. The Metropolitan Transit System and the
ongoi equip vehicl incep condi	ng me le t tior tior	nan Significant Impact: As discussed all and continued use of light truck and automent through San Diego County. Individual trips; however, trips associated with existing in 1989. Therefore, existing vehicle trips as existing operations, and the proposed twould conflict with an applicable congestion.	nobile activiti g VCF s are I IVMF	trips to transport workers, materials, and les under IVMP would result in ongoing activities have occurred since the VCP considered part of the IVMP's baseline is not expected to substantially increase
		ult in a change in air traffic patterns, incl nge in location that results in substantial safe	_	
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

Less than Significant Impact: The main compatibility concerns for the protection of airport airspace are related to airspace obstructions (building height, antennas, etc.) and hazards to flight (wildlife attractants, distracting lighting or glare, etc.). Because the Proposed Project includes implementation of the countywide IVMP throughout San Diego County, individual activities could potentially be located within an Airport Influence Area or within two miles of a public airport. However, the IVMP does not propose installation of structures that would contribute to or directly result in the obstruction of airspace resulting in a change to air traffic patterns. Therefore, the Proposed Project would result in less than significant impacts on air traffic patterns.

In addition, the proposed IVMP would include countywide surveillance and chemical control methods to monitor and reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. As a result, this could include the use of aircraft. During the peak mosquito breeding season (April – October), aerial applications may occur routinely based on VCP surveillance and observations. However, because aircraft operations typically do not occur during off-peak mosquito season, and are infrequent during peak mosquito season, the proposed IVMP is not anticipated to result in a change in air traffic patterns. All aircraft operations would be conducted in accordance with established air traffic patterns. Therefore, the Proposed Project would result in less than significant impacts on air traffic patterns.

d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., s intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?					
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
		•			
Dis	cussi	on/Explanation:			
inc	ompa	act: Individual activities under IVMP will natible uses (e.g., farm equipment) on existing which impede adequate site distance on a secondary.	ng roa	dways, or create or place curves, slopes	
e)	Resu	ult in inadequate emergency access?			
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Dis	cussi	ion/Explanation:			
No Impact: The intent of the proposed IVMP is to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. Individual activities under IVMP are not anticipated to include the construction of new structures or facilities that would interfere or otherwise affect existing emergency access. Therefore, no impacts would result from implementation of the IVMP.					
f)		Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease t			
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Dis	scuss	ion/Explanation:			
No Impact : The intent of the proposed IVMP is to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. Individual activities under IVMP are not anticipated to include the construction of new structures or facilities that would interfere with the provisions of public transit, bicycle or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities. Therefore, no impact would result from implementation of the IVMP.					
<u>X\</u>	/II. T	RIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES Would	the p	roject:	
a)	a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, as defined in Public Resources Code §21074 as either a site, feature, place, or cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:				
	i. I	Listed or eligible for listing in the California I register of Historical Resources as defined i	Registe in Publ	er of Historical Resources, or in a local ic Resources Code §5020.1(k), or	
		Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	

August 23, 2018 **CEQA Initial Study Checklist**

Discussion/Explanation:

Potentially Significant Impact: As discussed above in response to Cultural Resources - V(b), the proposed IVMP would include physical control methods for the purpose of monitoring and abatement to improve water circulation in aquatic habitats. This could potentially include, but not be limited to, removal of vegetation or sediment, interruption of water flow, rotation of stored water, pumping and/or filling sources, improving drainage and water circulation systems, and installing, removing, or improving culverts, tide gates, and other water control structures. As a result, these activities have the potential to result in a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, including sites that are listed or eligible for listing in the California or local Register of Historical Resources, However, any potential impacts to tribal cultural resources would be app Init

be avoic	ded or minimized to the extent feasible, le requirements. This will be further analyzed udy impacts could be potentially significant.	and the and th	would be mitigated in accordance with	
e R R	ii. A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantia evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code §5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code §5024.1, the Lead Agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discussion	on/Explanation:			
abateme be limited pumping removing activities cultural is subdivisi resource accordar	d IVMP would include physical control ent to improve water circulation in aquatic ed to, removal of vegetation or sediment, into and/or filling sources, improving drainage g, or improving culverts, tide gates, and of a have the potential to result in a substantial resource, including resources determined ion (c) of Public Resources Code §5024.1. The ses would be avoided or minimized to the note with applicable requirements. This will ose of this Initial Study impacts could be possible to the control of the possible requirements.	habita terrupt e and ther wa al adve to be Howe e exte	ats. This could potentially include, but not tion of water flow, rotation of stored water, water circulation systems, and installing, ater control structures. As a result, these erse change in the significance of a tribal significant pursuant to criteria defined in ever, any potential impacts to tribal cultural ent feasible, and would be mitigated in arther analyzed in the PEIR; however, for	
XVIII. U	TILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Wo	uld the	e project:	
	Exceed wastewater treatment requiremer Control Board?	nts of	the applicable Regional Water Quality	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	

Discussion/Explanation:

No Impact: The Proposed Project does not involve any uses that will discharge any wastewater to sanitary sewer or on-site wastewater systems (septic). Therefore, the Proposed Project will not exceed any wastewater treatment requirements.

b)	Require or result in the construction of rexpansion of existing facilities, the construction environmental effects?		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
vector- constru	pact: The intent of the proposed IVMP is to borne disease. Individual activities propose uction of new water or wastewater treatmore, no impacts will occur.	ed unde	er IVMP would not require or result in the
c)	Require or result in the construction of new existing facilities, the construction of which Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation		
	Incorporated	_	
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
Less than Significant Impact: Among other activities, the proposed IVMP would include physical control methods. This could potentially include, but not be limited to, removal of vegetation or sediment, interruption of water flow, rotation of stored water, pumping and/or filling sources, improving drainage and water circulation systems, and installing, removing, or improving culverts, tide gates, and other water control structures. However, the Proposed Project itself would not require or result in the construction of new or expanded storm water drainage facilities.			
d)	Have sufficient water supplies available to resources, or are new or expanded entitlen		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	ssion/Explanation:		
potent spread public	pact: The proposed IVMP includes a range ial vector threats as well as physical, biolog of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne outreach and education in communities are development nor propose a use requiring vectors.	ical, an disease cross \$	d chemical control methods to reduce the es and nuisances. This would also include San Diego County. The IVMP would not
e)	Result in a determination by the wastewate the project that it has adequate capacity to the provider's existing commitments?		
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	\square	Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

f)

August 23, 2018 CEQA Initial Study Checklist

No Impact: As discussed above in Utilities and Services Systems – XVIII(a), the Proposed Project does not involve any uses that will generate or discharge any wastewater to sanitary sewer or onsite wastewater systems (septic). Therefore, the Proposed Project will not require a wastewater treatment provider.

Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid

\	waste disposal needs?			
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discuss	ion/Explanation:			
Less than Significant Impact: Among other activities, the proposed IVMP would include physical control methods to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne and other vector-borne diseases and nuisances. As a result, minor grading activities could potentially occur. Specifically, physical control activities may involve minor grading or vegetation management in order to survey and abate vectors and nuisances. As a result, this could potentially generate solid waste. If such activities require solid waste disposal, there are numerous solid waste disposal facilities within San Diego County with remaining capacity. All solid waste facilities, including landfills require solid waste facility permits to operate. In San Diego County, the DEH, Local Enforcement Agency issues solid waste facility permits with concurrence from the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) under the authority of the Public Resources Code (Sections 44001-44018) and California Code of Regulations Title 27, Division 2, Subdivision 1, Chapter 4 (Section 21440 et seq.). Therefore, there is sufficient existing permitted solid waste capacity to accommodate the Proposed Project's solid waste disposal needs.				
g) (Comply with federal, state, and local statute	s and	regulations related to solid waste?	
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact	
Discussion/Explanation:				

Less than Significant Impact: Implementation of the IVMP could potentially generate solid waste. All solid waste facilities, including landfills, require solid waste facility permits to operate. In San Diego County, the DEH, Local Enforcement Agency issues solid waste facility permits with concurrence from the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) under the authority of the Public Resources Code (Sections 44001-44018) and California Code of Regulations Title 27, Division 2, Subdivision 1, Chapter 4 (Section 21440 et seq.). Individual

activities under the IVMP will deposit all solid waste at a permitted solid waste facility and therefore, will comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.

XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:

a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

August 23, 2018 CEQA Initial Study Checklist

County Department of Environmental Health Integrated Vector Management Program

\boxtimes	Potentially Significant Impact		Less than Significant Impact
	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
Potentially Significant Impact: Per the instructions for evaluating environmental impacts in this Initial Study, the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory were considered in the response to each question in sections IV and V of this form. In addition to project-specific impacts, this evaluation considered the projects potential for significant cumulative effects. As a result of this evaluation, the Proposed Project was determined to have potential significant effects related to biological, cultural, and tribal cultural resources. While mitigation may be proposed in some instances that reduce these effects to a level below significance, the effectiveness of this mitigation to clearly reduce the impact to a level below significance is unclear. Therefore, this Proposed Project has been determined to potentially meet this Mandatory Finding of Significance.			
b)	Does the project have impacts that are ind ("Cumulatively considerable" means that considerable when viewed in connection of other current projects, and the effects of pro-	it the with th	incremental effects of a project are effects of past projects, the effects of
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact
Discus	sion/Explanation:		
Potentially significant Impact: Per the instructions for evaluating environmental impacts in this Initial Study, the potential for adverse cumulative effects was considered in the response to each question in sections I through XVIII of this form. In addition to project-specific impacts, this evaluation considered the Proposed Project's potential for incremental effects that are cumulatively considerable. As a result of this evaluation, there is a potential for cumulative effects associated with this Proposed Project. Therefore, cumulative impacts will be further discussed in the PEIR.			
c)	Does the project have environmental effection human beings, either directly or indirectly		ch will cause substantial adverse effects
	Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated		Less than Significant Impact No Impact

Discussion/Explanation:

In the evaluation of environmental impacts in this Initial Study, the potential for adverse direct or indirect impacts to human beings were considered in the response to certain questions in the following eight sections: Aesthetics, Air Quality, Geology and Soils, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Hydrology and Water Quality, Noise, Population and Housing, and Transportation and Traffic. As a result of this evaluation, there were determined to be potentially significant effects related to Air Quality, Geology and Soils, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Hydrology and Water Quality, and Noise. While mitigation may be proposed in some instances that reduce these significant effects to a level below significance, the

effectiveness of this mitigation to clearly reduce the impact to a level below significance is unclear. Therefore, this Proposed Project has been determined to potentially meet this Mandatory Finding of Significance.

XX. REFERENCES USED IN THE COMPLETION OF THE INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST

All references to Federal, State and local regulation are available on the Internet. For Federal regulation refer to http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/. For State regulation refer to www.leginfo.ca.gov. For County regulation refer to www.amlegal.com. All other references are available upon request.

PROJECT-SPECIFIC REFERENCES

- County of San Diego. Vector Control Program. Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment: Engineer's Report. Fiscal Year 2018-2019. June 2018
- County of San Diego. Vector Control Program. Invasive Aedes Mosquito Strategic Response Plan. April 2018.
- County of San Diego. Vector Control Program. West Nile Virus Strategic Response Plan. April 2018.

AESTHETICS

- California Street and Highways Code [California Street and Highways Code, Section 260-283. (http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/)
- California Scenic Highway Program, California Streets and Highways Code, Section 260-283. (http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/scenic/scpr.htm)
- County of San Diego, Planning & Development Services. The Zoning Ordinance of San Diego County. Sections 5200-5299; 5700-5799; 5900-5910, 6322-6326. ((www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- County of San Diego, Board Policy I-73: Hillside Development Policy. (www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- County of San Diego, Board Policy I-104: Policy and Procedures for Preparation of Community Design Guidelines, Section 396.10 of the County Administrative Code and Section 5750 et seq. of the County Zoning Ordinance. (www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- County of San Diego Light Pollution Code, Title 5, Division 9 (Sections 59.101-59.115 of the County Code of Regulatory Ordinances) as added by Ordinance No 6900, effective January 18, 1985, and amended July 17, 1986 by Ordinance No. 7155. (www.amlegal.com)
- County of San Diego Wireless Communications Ordinance [San Diego County Code of Regulatory Ordinances. (www.amlegal.com)
- Design Review Guidelines for the Communities of San Diego County. (Alpine, Bonsall, Fallbrook, Julian, Lakeside, Ramona, Spring Valley, Sweetwater, Valley Center).
- Federal Communications Commission, Telecommunications Act of 1996 [Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. LA. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996). (http://www.fcc.gov/Reports/tcom1996.txt)
- Institution of Lighting Engineers, Guidance Notes for the Reduction of Light Pollution, Warwickshire, UK, 2000
- International Light Inc., Light Measurement Handbook, 1997. (www.intl-light.com)
- Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Lighting Research Center, National Lighting Product Information Program (NLPIP),

- Lighting Answers, Volume 7, Issue 2, March 2003. (www.lrc.rpi.edu)
- US Census Bureau, Census 2000, Urbanized Area Outline Map, San Diego, CA. (http://www.census.gov/geo/www/maps/ua2kmaps.htm)
- US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) modified Visual Management System. (www.blm.gov)
- US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Visual Impact Assessment for Highway Projects.
- US Department of Transportation, National Highway System Act of 1995 [Title III, Section 304. Design Criteria for the National Highway System.
 (http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legsregs/nhsdatoc.html)

AGRICULTURE RESOURCES

- California Department of Conservation, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, "A Guide to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program," November 1994. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Department of Conservation, Office of Land Conversion, "California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model Instruction Manual," 1997. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Farmland Conservancy Program, 1996. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Land Conservation (Williamson) Act, 1965. (www.ceres.ca.gov, www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Right to Farm Act, as amended 1996. (www.qp.gov.bc.ca)
- County of San Diego Agricultural Enterprises and Consumer Information Ordinance, 1994, Title 6, Division 3, Ch. 4. Sections 63.401-63.408. (www.amlegal.com)
- County of San Diego, Department of Agriculture, Weights and Measures, "2002 Crop Statistics and Annual Report," 2002. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov)
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service LESA System.
 (www.nrcs.usda.gov, www.swcs.org).
- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey for the San Diego Area, California. 1973. (soils.usda.gov)

AIR QUALITY

CEQA Air Quality Analysis Guidance Handbook, South Coast Air Quality Management District, Revised November 1993. (www.aqmd.gov)

- County of San Diego Air Pollution Control District's Rules and Regulations, updated August 2003. (www.co.sandiego.ca.us)
- Federal Clean Air Act US Code; Title 42; Chapter 85 Subchapter 1. (www4.law.cornell.edu)

BIOLOGY

- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW).
 Southern California Coastal Sage Scrub Natural
 Community Conservation Planning Process Guidelines.
 CDFW and California Resources Agency, Sacramento,
 California. 1993. (www.dfg.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, An Ordinance Amending the San Diego County Code to Establish a Process for Issuance of the Coastal Sage Scrub Habitat Loss Permits and Declaring the Urgency Thereof to Take Effect Immediately, Ordinance No. 8365. 1994, Title 8, Div 6, Ch. 1. Sections 86.101-86.105, 87,202.2. (www.amlegal.com)
- County of San Diego, Biological Mitigation Ordinance, Ord. Nos. 8845, 9246, 1998 (new series). (www.co.sandiego.ca.us)
- County of San Diego, Implementing Agreement by and between United States Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Wildlife and County of San Diego. County of San Diego, Multiple Species Conservation Program, 1998.
- County of San Diego, Multiple Species Conservation Program, County of San Diego Subarea Plan, 1997.
- Holland, R.R. Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. State of California, Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, California, 1986.
- Memorandum of Understanding [Agreement Between United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF), San Diego County Fire Chief's Association and the Fire District's Association of San Diego County.
- Stanislaus Audubon Society, Inc. v County of Stanislaus (5th Dist. 1995) 33 Cal.App.4th 144, 155-159 [39 Cal. Rptr.2d 54]. (www.ceres.ca.gov)
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Environmental Laboratory.
 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. U.S.
 Army Corps of Engineers, Wetlands Research Program
 Technical Report Y-87-1. 1987.
 (http://www.wes.army.mil/)
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. America's wetlands: our vital link between land and water. Office of Water, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds. EPA843-K-95-001, 1995b. (www.epa.gov)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C. 1996. (endangered.fws.gov)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. Consultation Handbook: Procedures for Conducting Consultation and Conference Activities Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C. 1998. (endangered.fws.gov)

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Environmental Assessment and Land Protection Plan for the Vernal Pools Stewardship Project. Portland, Oregon. 1997.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Vernal Pools of Southern California Recovery Plan. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Region One, Portland, Oregon, 1998. (ecos.fws.gov)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Birds of conservation concern 2002. Division of Migratory. 2002. (migratorybirds.fws.gov)

CULTURAL RESOURCES

- California Health & Safety Code. §18950-18961, State Historic Building Code. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Health & Safety Code. §5020-5029, Historical Resources. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Health & Safety Code, §7050.5, Human Remains. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, (AB 978), 2001. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Public Resources Code §5024.1, Register of Historical Resources. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Public Resources Code. §5031-5033, State Landmarks. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Public Resources Code. §5097-5097.6, Archaeological, Paleontological, and Historic Sites. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Public Resources Code. §5097.9-5097.991, Native American Heritage. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- City of San Diego. Paleontological Guidelines. (revised) August 1998.
- County of San Diego, Local Register of Historical Resources (Ordinance 9493), 2002. (www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- Demere, Thomas A., and Stephen L. Walsh. Paleontological Resources San Diego County. Department of Paleontology, San Diego Natural History Museum. 1994.
- Moore, Ellen J. Fossil Mollusks of San Diego County. San Diego Society of Natural history. Occasional; Paper 15. 1968.
- U.S. Code including: American Antiquities Act (16 USC §431-433) 1906. Historic Sites, Buildings, and Antiquities Act (16 USC §461-467), 1935. Reservoir Salvage Act (16 USC §469-469c) 1960. Department of Transportation Act (49 USC §303) 1966. National Historic Preservation Act (16 USC §470 et seg.) 1966. National Environmental Policy Act (42 USC §4321) 1969. Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC §1451) 1972. National Marine Sanctuaries Act (16 USC §1431) 1972. Archaeological and Historical Preservation Act (16 USC §469-469c) 1974. Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 USC §35) 1976. American Indian Religious Freedom Act (42 USC §1996 and 1996a) 1978. Archaeological Resources Protection Act (16 USC §470aa-mm) 1979. Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 USC §3001-3013) 1990. Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (23 USC §101, 109) 1991. American Battlefield Protection Act (16 USC 469k) 1996. (www4.law.cornell.edu)

GEOLOGY & SOILS

- California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, California Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act, Special Publication 42, Revised 1997. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, Fault-Rupture Hazard Zones in California, Special Publication 42, revised 1997. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating Seismic Hazards in California, 1997. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego Code of Regulatory Ordinances Title 6, Division 8, Chapter 3, Septic Ranks and Seepage Pits. (www.amlegal.com)
- County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health, Land and Water Quality Division, February 2002. On-site Wastewater Systems (Septic Systems): Permitting Process and Design Criteria. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego Natural Resource Inventory, Section 3, Geology.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey for the San Diego Area, California. 1973. (soils.usda.gov)

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

- County of San Diego Climate Action Plan. February 2018.

 Available at:

 https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/nds/advance/climateac
 - https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/pds/advance/climateactionplan.html
- California Air Resources Board (CARB). Climate Change Scoping Plan a Framework for Change, December 2008.
- California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan, The strategy for achieving California's 2030 greenhouse gas target. November 2017. Available at: https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scoping_plan_2017.pdf

HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

- American Planning Association, Zoning News, "Saving Homes from Wildfires: Regulating the Home Ignition Zone," May 2001.
- California Building Code (CBC), Seismic Requirements, Chapter 16 Section 162. (www.buildersbook.com)
- California Education Code, Section 17215 and 81033. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Government Code. § 8585-8589, Emergency Services Act. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Hazardous Waste and Substances Site List. April 1998. (www.dtsc.ca.gov)
- California Health & Safety Code Chapter 6.95 and §25117 and §25316. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Health & Safety Code § 2000-2067. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Health & Safety Code. §17922.2. Hazardous Buildings. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)

- California Public Utilities Code, SDCRAA. Public Utilities Code, Division 17, Sections 170000-170084. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Resources Agency, "OES Dam Failure Inundation Mapping and Emergency Procedures Program", 1996. (ceres.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division. California Accidental Release Prevention Program (CalARP) Guidelines. (http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/, www.oes.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division. Hazardous Materials Business Plan Guidelines. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov)
- Uniform Building Code. (www.buildersbook.com)
- Uniform Fire Code 1997 edition published by the Western Fire Chiefs Association and the International Conference of Building Officials, and the National Fire Protection Association Standards 13 &13-D, 1996 Edition, and 13-R, 1996 Edition. (www.buildersbook.com)

HYDROLOGY & WATER QUALITY

- American Planning Association, Planning Advisory Service Report Number 476 Non-point Source Pollution: A Handbook for Local Government
- California Department of Water Resources, California Water Plan Update. Sacramento: Dept. of Water Resources State of California. 1998. (rubicon.water.ca.gov)
- California Department of Water Resources, California's Groundwater Update 2003 Bulletin 118, April 2003. (www.groundwater.water.ca.gov)
- California Department of Water Resources, Water Facts, No. 8, August 2000. (www.dpla2.water.ca.gov)
- California Disaster Assistance Act. Government Code, § 8680-8692. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California State Water Resources Control Board, NPDES General Permit Nos. CAS000001 INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITIES (97-03-DWQ) and CAS000002 Construction Activities (No. 99-08-DWQ) (www.swrcb.ca.gov)
- California Storm Water Quality Association, California Storm Water Best Management Practice Handbooks, 2003.
- California Water Code, Sections 10754, 13282, and 60000 et seq. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- Colorado River Basin Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 7, Water Quality Control Plan. (www.swrcb.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego Regulatory Ordinance, Title 8, Division 7, Grading Ordinance. Grading, Clearing and Watercourses. (www.amlegal.com)
- County of San Diego, Groundwater Ordinance. #7994. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov, http://www.amlegal.com/,)
- County of San Diego, Project Clean Water Strategic Plan, 2002. (www.projectcleanwater.org)
- County of San Diego, Watershed Protection, Storm Water Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance, Ordinance Nos. 9424 and 9426. Chapter 8, Division 7, Title 6 of the San Diego County Code of Regulatory Ordinances and amendments. (www.amlegal.com)

- County Department of Environmental Health Integrated Vector Management Program
- County of San Diego. Board of Supervisors Policy I-68.
 Diego Proposed Projects in Flood Plains with Defined
 Floodways. (www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Clean Water Act), 1972, Title 33, Ch.26, Sub-Ch.1. (www4.law.cornell.edu)
- Freeze, Allan and Cherry, John A., Groundwater, Prentice-Hall, Inc. New Jersey, 1979.
- Heath, Ralph C., Basic Ground-Water Hydrology, United States Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper; 2220, 1991
- National Flood Insurance Act of 1968. (www.fema.gov)
- National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994.

(www.fema.gov)

- Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, California Water Code Division 7. Water Quality. (ceres.ca.gov)
- San Diego Association of Governments, Water Quality Element, Regional Growth Management Strategy, 1997. (www.sandag.org
- San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, NPDES Permit No. CAS0108758. (www.swrcb.ca.gov)
- San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego Basin. (www.swrcb.ca.gov)

LAND USE & PLANNING

- California Department of Conservation Division of Mines and Geology, Open File Report 96-04, Update of Mineral Land Classification: Aggregate Materials in the Western San Diego County Production Consumption Region, 1996. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources
 Code 21000-21178; California Code of Regulations,
 Guidelines for Implementation of CEQA, Appendix G, Title
 14, Chapter 3, §15000-15387. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California State Mining and Geology Board, SP 51, California Surface Mining and Reclamation Policies and Procedures, January 2000. (www.consrv.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, Board of Supervisors Policy I-84: Project Facility. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, Board Policy I-38, as amended 1989. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, General Plan as adopted August 3, 2011. (ceres.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego. Resource Protection Ordinance, compilation of Ord.Nos. 7968, 7739, 7685 and 7631. 1991.
- Design Review Guidelines for the Communities of San Diego County.

MINERAL RESOURCES

- National Environmental Policy Act, Title 42, 36.401 et. seq. 1969. (www4.law.cornell.edu)
- Subdivision Map Act, 2011. (ceres.ca.gov)
- U.S. Geologic Survey, Causey, J. Douglas, 1998, MAS/MILS Mineral Location Database.

U.S. Geologic Survey, Frank, David G., 1999, (MRDS) Mineral Resource Data System.

NOISE

- California State Building Code, Part 2, Title 24, CCR, Appendix Chapter 3, Sound Transmission Control, 1988. . (www.buildersbook.com)
- County of San Diego Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 3, Div 6, Chapter 4, Noise Abatement and Control, effective February 4, 1982. (www.amlegal.com)
- County of San Diego General Plan, Noise Element, effective August 3, 2011. (ceres.ca.gov)
- Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 150 Airport Noise Compatibility Planning (revised January 18, 1985). (http://www.access.gpo.qov/)
- Harris Miller Miller and Hanson Inc., Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, April 1995. (http://ntl.bts.gov/data/rail05/rail05.html)
- International Standard Organization (ISO), ISO 362; ISO 1996 1-3; ISO 3095; and ISO 3740-3747. (www.iso.ch)
- U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch. "Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy and Guidance," Washington, D.C., June 1995. (http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/)

POPULATION & HOUSING

- Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, 42 USC 5309, Title 42--The Public Health And Welfare, Chapter 69--Community Development, United States Congress, August 22, 1974. (www4.law.cornell.edu)
- National Housing Act (Cranston-Gonzales), Title 12, Ch. 13. (www4.law.cornell.edu)
- San Diego Association of Governments Population and Housing Estimates, November 2000. (www.sandag.org)
- US Census Bureau, Census 2000. (http://www.census.gov/)

RECREATION

County of San Diego Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 8, Division 10, Chapter PLDO, §810.101 et seq. Park Lands Dedication Ordinance. (www.amlegal.com)

TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

- California Aeronautics Act, Public Utilities Code, Section 21001 et seq. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Department of Transportation, Division of Aeronautics, California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook, January 2002.
- California Department of Transportation, Environmental Program Environmental Engineering Noise, Air Quality, and Hazardous Waste Management Office. "Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol for New Highway Construction and Reconstruction Projects," October 1998. (www.dot.ca.gov)
- California Public Utilities Code, SDCRAA. Public Utilities Code, Division 17, Sections 170000-170084. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- California Street and Highways Code. California Street and Highways Code, Section 260-283. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)

County Department of Environmental Health Integrated Vector Management Program

- County of San Diego, Alternative Fee Schedules with Pass-By Trips Addendum to Transportation Impact Fee Reports, March 2005.
 - (http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/land/pdf/TransImpactFee/attacha.pdf)
- County of San Diego Transportation Impact Fee Report. January 2005. (http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/permitsforms/manuals.html)
- Fallbrook & Ramona Transportation Impact Fee Report, County of San Diego, January 2005. (http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/permitsforms/manuals.html)
- Office of Planning, Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, Final Report, April 1995.
- San Diego Association of Governments, 2020 Regional Transportation Plan. Prepared by the San Diego Association of Governments. (www.sandag.org)
- San Diego County Regional Airport Authority ALUCP'S http://www.san.org/sdcraa/airport_initiatives/land_use/ado pted_docs.aspx
- US Code of Federal Regulations, Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR), Objects Affecting Navigable Airspace, Title 14, Chapter 1, Part 77. (www.gpoaccess.gov)

UTILITIES & SERVICE SYSTEMS

California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 14. Natural Resources Division, CIWMB Division 7; and Title 27,

- Environmental Protection Division 2, Solid Waste. (ccr.oal.ca.gov)
- California Integrated Waste Management Act. Public Resources Code, Division 30, Waste Management, Sections 40000-41956. (www.leginfo.ca.gov)
- County of San Diego, Board of Supervisors Policy I-78: Small Wastewater. (www.sdcounty.ca.gov)
- Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization Annex T Emergency Water Contingencies, October 1992. (www.co.san-diego.ca.us)
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service LESA System.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey for the San Diego Area, California. 1973.
- US Census Bureau, Census 2000.
- US Code of Federal Regulations, Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR), Objects Affecting Navigable Airspace, Title 14, Chapter 1, Part 77.
- US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) modified Visual Management System.
- US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Visual Impact Assessment for Highway Projects.